

Remarks

Claims 1 through 12 remain pending in the application.

The specification has been amended to correct some typographical errors. No new matter has been added.

The Office Action provisionally rejects claim 1 on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 4 of copending Application No. 11/210,436. Applicant submits a terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) in order to overcome the rejection. Claims 2 through 12 depend from claim 1 and, as discussed above, the rejection to claim 1 has been overcome. Therefore, these claims should be allowed.

Conclusion

This response has addressed all of the Examiner's grounds for rejection and objection. Reconsideration of the rejections and allowance of the claims is requested.

Date: January 23, 2008

By: /Niky Economy Syrengelas/
Niky Economy Syrengelas, Esq.

Reg. No. 46680